RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 0 7 2006

REMARKS

The Office Action of 08/07/2006 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration and allowance in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 7-13 and 16-18 were rejected as being anticipated by Nghiem. Claims 5, 6, 14 and 15 were rejected as being unpatentable over Nghiem in view of Engblom.

Claims 10-18 have been canceled. Claim 1 has been canceled and new claim 19 added. Claim 19 now recites in part that a conducting plate is separate from and opposed to a portion of the ground conductor.

Nghiem, in contrast, teaches an arrangement in which the conducting plate is not separate from the ground conductor but rather one in which the conducting plate and the ground conductor are one and the same conductor.

The cited references are therefore not believed to teach or suggest the invention as presently claimed. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 2-9 and 19 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 11/06/06